Design and in field validation of a modular metamaterial for mitigation of railway induced vibrations

F. Nistri ^a, F. Bosia ^a, A.S. Gliozzi ^a, L. D'Alessandro ^{b,*}, S. Caverni ^b, P. Charkaluk ^b, A. Corigliano ^c, M. Miniaci ^d, A. Colombi ^e, N.M. Pugno ^f
^a Department of Applied Science and Technology, Politecnico di Torino, C.so Duca degli Abruzzi, 24 - 10129 Torino, Italy
^b Phononic Vibes s.r.l., Via Simone Schiaffino, 11 - 20158 Milano, Italy
^c Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Politecnico di Milano, Piazza Leonardo da Vinci, 32 - 20133 Milano, Italy
^d CNRS, Centrale Lille, ISEN, Univ. Lille, Univ. Valenciennes, UMR 8520 - IEMN, Villeneuve-D'Ascq 60069, France
^e Institute for Building Technology and Process, IBP, ZHAW School of Architecture, Design and Civil, Lagerstrasse, 41 - 8021 Zürich, Switzerland
^f Laboratory for Bioinspired, Bionic, Nano, Meta Materials & Mechanics, Department of Civil, Environmental and Mechanical Engineering, University of Trento, Trento, 38123, Italy

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: luca.dalessandro@phononicvibes.com (L. D'Alessandro).

Metamaterials are artificial structures exhibiting wave control properties that can be exploited in civil engineering applications. Among them, locally resonant metamaterials are able to control and manipulate wave propagation at wavelengths several times larger than the unit cell size, and can therefore be useful for low-frequency vibration suppression. This paper presents the design, installation and validation of a 0.4 m thick metamaterial-based panel for mitigation of railway-induced vibrations. The barrier comprises a cubic locally resonating unit made of four concrete pyramids connected together by external slender steel rebars. The unit cell is characterized from the dynamic point of view both numerically and experimentally, and a full- scale field test is then performed on the barrier at the railway station in Elze (Germany). This test validates the effectiveness of the metamaterial-based panel in providing a low-frequency mitigation of 10 dB at the resonance frequency around 30 Hz, in good agreement with the numerical and laboratory tests.

Keywords: Metamaterials Trench barrier Railway-induced vibrations In-situ experiment

1. Introduction

In recent years, a growing concern over the detrimental effects of seismic waves and

groundborne vibrations has prompted significant research efforts aimed at mitigating these phenomena. In particular, vi- brations induced by railways determine a significant impact on both the built environment and residents, manifesting themselves as Rayleigh waves propagating at the soil surface. The generation of these surface waves is described in [1], where state-of-the-art mitigation solutions, including trench barriers, are compared. In this context, [2] presented Finite Element (FE) simulations to explore the effectiveness of a ro- bust concrete trench barrier installed near a traditional railway line, subsequently validating the findings through in-field testing. Another strategy, outlined in [3], involved the deployment of buried steel sheet piles, with both numerical simulations and experimental testing conducted in the relevant environment. A recently developed technology that can help to address this

problem is that of MetaMaterials (MM), whose study and development has gained increasing attention, due to the possibility of achieving unprecedented material performance in many fields, such as vibra- tion [4–6] and noise control [7]. First developed in electromagnetism and optoelectronics [8], MMs are defined as artificially structured materials characterized by macroscopic properties that would not be typical of the raw material they are made of. Among these, so-called Phononic Crystals (PnC) exploit the repetition of a Unit Cell (UC) and the Bragg scattering phenomenon to suppress the propagation of the mechanical waves in specific frequency ranges through the creation of band gaps. In this case, it is possible to create band-gaps targeting specific wavelengths that are comparable with the size of the UC. Another means to create band gaps is to use locally resonant meta- materials, which are instead obtained by a periodic or non-periodic arrangement of resonators, whose resonance frequency depends only on their inertia and restoring force. This allows to create bandgaps at frequencies corresponding to wavelengths several times larger than the UCs [9,10]. In some cases, the band gaps due to Bragg scattering and local resonance can coincide [11]. Other coupling effects such as multiple Bragg wave coupling, veering and locking can take place, locally modifying the dispersion of the waves [12–14].



Fig. 1. Structures of the UC: (a) Exploded 3D view (b) Section view with details of the connections between steel beams and concrete pyramids.

Numerous investigations have explored the potential use of MMs in mitigating seismic vibrations. Numerical studies have focused on metamaterial-based trench barriers, investigating the geometry and material dependencies of phononic crystal and locally-resonant bar- riers, respectively [15,16]. In [17], researchers employed an array of buried resonators near the surface as a seismic metabarrier. The system, constructed employing commonly used materials such as a concrete case and a steel cylinder suspended by elastic bearings, was modelled numerically and then verified in a scaled-down experimental setup. Similarly, the effect of buried resonators or periodic cavities was studied in [18]. In [19], a numerical study on an array of buried pillar resonator clamped to a bedrock demonstrated the possibility of achieving bandgaps in the 1-10 Hz range for seismic protection. Further theoretical work on seismic protection was developed in [20], studying the propagation of a Rayleigh wave in a half space with an array of rod resonators. In [21], the application of a graded array of rods on an elastic substrate was used to generate Rayleigh wave rainbow trapping and mode conversion, redirecting the propagation from the surface to the bulk. Furthermore, [22] examined the influence of natural resonators, such as trees and forests, revealing through field tests that Rayleigh waves, propagating in soft sedimentary soil at frequencies below 80 Hz, exhibited substantial attenuation by these natural resonators.

In this paper, MM vibration mitigation properties are exploited to address this critical problem. Starting from the UC geometry developed in [23,24], a MM based barrier with a low resonance frequency is designed for applications in railway-induced vibrations, in particular to address Rayleigh waves, which propagate at the surface. The process of design and validation of the MM panel for railway-induced vibration mitigation is thus presented. Firstly, a detailed description of the UC is given in Section 2. Its numerical analysis is then described in Section 3 and compared with experimental results in Section 4. Section 5 sub- sequently explains the design of the anti-vibration modules, composed of U-shaped blocks enclosing the designed UCs and Section 6 illustrates their numerical characterization. Finally, in Section 7, the experimental campaign on the field is described along with the corresponding results to validate the effectiveness of the MM panel. Closing remarks are provided in Section 8.

2. Unit cell design

The first objective of this work is the design and the dynamic characterization of a MM UC working at subwavelength range, which could be used as fundamental unit for a barrier for railway-induced vibration mitigation in soil. The proposed UC structure is based on previous studies [23,24] and chosen in order to both maximize the participating mass and minimize the stiffness as well as to tune the vibration damping properties in the low frequency range. Due to the de- sign involving thin beams and large masses, the modes featuring larger modal mass of the system (pyramids) are confined in the low passbands, while the ones featuring smaller modal mass (beams) are confined in the high passband, in what is known as mode separation [23,24]. A 3D view of the proposed UC is shown in Fig. 1(a). The main dimensions of the UC, made of 6 pyramids disposed symmetrically, are illustrated in Fig. 1(b) in a mid cross section view, where the main side of the cubic cell is assumed to be $a_{cell} = 0.36$ m, while the pyramid side is $l_{pyr} = 0.30$ m.

The materials chosen for the fabrication of the UCs are steel and concrete. The presence of fibres in the concrete mix increases its overall tensile, shear, and compressive strength, and is required to avoid using rebars as reinforcement in the pyramids, given the limited avail- able

volume. Although this is not strictly necessary for the operating phase, where no payloads are supported, it can be important for the installation phase, where impacts can occur.

Each pyramid is connected to the neighbouring ones through an L- shaped steel beams. Each beam presents hooks on both ends in order to strengthen the attachment between beams and pyramidal elements.

These are made of stainless steel AISI 630, have an 8 mm diameter circular section and are curved by 90 $^{\circ}$ (with a total bending radius equal to 4.5 times the diameter of the beam).

3. UC numerical analysis

The dynamic behaviour of the chosen unit cell is first analysed nu- merically. Dispersion analysis is performed, investigating the potential behaviour for an infinite array of unit cells. Then, a modal analysis of the single cell is carried out.

3.1. Dispersion analysis of the single cell

Simulations are performed using the FE Method, in particular by means of the Structural Mechanics Module of COMSOL Multiphysics. The pyramids are modelled by means of solid tetrahedral elements, with the element size adapted to the geometrical details of the UC. The concrete material parameters are: density $\rho_c = 2500 \text{ kg/m}^3$, Young's Modulus $E_c = 30$ GPa and Poisson's ratio $\nu_c = 0.2$. On the other hand, the bars that connect the pyramids are modelled using beam elements following Timoshenko theory (simulations are also performed with solid elements instead of beam elements, but give no significant differences in results). The material parameters are: density $\rho_S = 7850 \text{ kg/m}^3$, Young's Modulus $E_S = 210$ GPa and Poisson's ratio $\nu_S = 0.3$. The phononic band structure of the structure in Fig. 1(a) is calculated implementing Bloch boundary conditions on the edges of the UC to account for the periodicity of the system [25]:

$$\vec{u}(\vec{r} + R) = \vec{u}(\vec{r})e^{-ik}F\cdot R'$$

(1)

where \vec{u} represents the displacement vector, \vec{R} is the lattice vector and $\vec{k} \cdot \vec{F}$ is the Bloch vector. Band structures are obtained by letting the wavevector $\vec{k} \cdot \vec{F} = (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{F} \cdot \vec{x}, \vec{k} \cdot \vec{F} \cdot \vec{y}, \vec{k} \cdot \vec{F} \cdot \vec{z})$ span selected points along the path of the Irreducible Brillouin Zone (IBZ) (Fig. 2). The main directions of symmetry in the reciprocal space $\Gamma \cdot \vec{X} - \vec{X} \cdot \vec{M} - M\Gamma - \Gamma \cdot \vec{R} - RX - XM - MR$ identify the 3D IBZ. The bandgap opening mode at $f_{bot} = 32.7$ Hz



Fig. 2. (Left) 3D view of the UC and schematic of its IBZ. (Centre) dispersion diagram of the periodic structure. (Right) eigenmodes of the UC corresponding to the *ftop* and *fbot*

eigenfrequencies, respectively.



Fig. 3. (Left) schematic of the input and output positions in the numerical model and (right) the numerically-calculated transmission spectrum together with the eigenmode at *fbot* shown in the inset.

involves a large percentage of the total mass of the system, i.e., the mobilization of the concrete pyramids. The bandgap closing mode, at

 $f_{top} = 270.0$ Hz is characterized by the vibration of the steel beams instead of the concrete pyramids. The relative band gap width (in %) can be calculated as follows: $f_{top} - f_{bot}$

4. UC experimental laboratory tests

The mechanical transmission of the system is experimentally evalu- ated by means of a setup comprising an arbitrary waveform generator Agilent 33,220 A, able to perform frequency sweeps; a NI-9234 sound and vibration recording module and a NI cDAQ-9171 data acquisition *andgap*% = $2 \cdot f$

+f



the lateral faces of the cube. The two accelerometers are positioned where A_{in} and A_{out} are the accelerations at the input and output surfaces, respectively. These are chosen on opposite faces, as

shown in Fig. 3; the input surface is excited with a harmonic force with amplitude equal to 1 N and the resulting acceleration is detected at the output surface A_{out} , carrying out a sweep frequency analysis. Results are consistent with band gap analysis, showing significant vibration attenuation between 35–250 Hz, which is the frequency range targeted in this study. at two opposite faces, so that the input and output accelerations can be measured. The whole experimental setup is shown in Fig. 4.

The experiment is performed by using a sine sweep actuation and measuring the corresponding output with the accelerometer. The trans- mission is computed as in Eq. (3).

Fig. 5 shows an excellent agreement between experimental and nu- merical transmission curves. In particular, a clear drop in transmission occurs after 32.5 Hz, which corresponds to the UC mode involving



Fig. 4. (Above) schematic of the experimental setup. (Below, from left to right) output side view (accelerometer position in red square), and input side view (shaker).



Fig. 5. Comparison of the transmission curves obtained numerically and experimentally.

pyramidal masses and the steel beams acting as flexural elements, as described in Section 3.1. The experimentally measured transmission appears constant above 100 Hz, as the acceleration amplitude is below the signal measurement noise. Design of anti-vibration modules The UC presented in previous sections is now used for a field test for the mitigation of railway induced vibrations. There are some



Fig. 6. (From left to right) exploded, front-, and isometric- views of the anti-vibration module consisting of six UCs and a surrounding U-shaped concrete block. Main element dimensions are indicated.

problematic aspects when directly burying the UC in the ground, which may decrease its efficiency: for instance, a non uniform contact with the soil and a potential alteration of the dynamic behaviour of the cell because the soil can fill the gaps between the components (i.e., between the pyramid cement blocks) and modify the modes and frequencies of the resonators in an uncontrolled way. Moreover, direct application of the UC in the ground may lead to difficulties in installation and durability issues, since it would also assume a load bearing, as well as a vibration damping function.

To avoid these problems, an outer module which encloses the UCs is designed, ensuring a load-bearing function and uniform contact with the soil. The module is depicted in Fig. 6, and consists of a U-shaped reinforced-concrete block enclosing a $3 \times 2 \times 1$ array of UCs. The resulting antivibration module is named "PhV-MQ-A" in the following, while the sole U-block is referred to as "PhV-MQ-B". In the manufacturing phase, the UCs of the PhV-MQ-A are attached to the walls of the block through a thin film of epoxy resin applied on the external faces of the pyramids and the internal ones of the U-block. This ensures coupling and vibration transmission at the interfaces between elements. The resulting design of the anti-vibration module therefore allows:

- to protect the cells from the soil to preserve both their dynamic behaviour and durability;
- a more uniform pressure distribution across their flat lateral surfaces
- an easier transport and field installation.

The presence of the U-shaped block modifies the behaviour of the connected UCs, and numerical analysis is necessary to determine the vibration characteristics of the whole module.

5. Numerical analysis of the anti-vibration modules

Numerical analysis is performed on PhV-MQ-A and PhV-MQ-B struc- tures to investigate the effect of the casing on the structure. A FE modal analysis is performed by introducing a harmonic unit input force F =

 $Ae^{i\omega t}$, with A = 1 N applied on one side of the U-block along its normal direction. On the same surface and on the opposite one, the average of the normal acceleration amplitude is calculated, and the transmission spectrum is then computed by using Eq. (3) both for PhV-MQ-A and PhV-MQ-B, as shown in Fig. 7(a). The two spectra display two minima in transmission in the low frequency range (5-45 Hz) for PhV-MQ-A that are absent in PhV-MQ-B. These are associated with the "locally resonant" behaviour of the structure [26]. The lowest minimum is attained at f = 32.5 Hz, which corresponds to the eigenfrequency of the MM. The other occurs at f = 27.5Hz, which corresponds to another resonant mode of the MM. The largest transmission peak for PhV- MQ-A is attained at 45 Hz, which corresponds instead to a resonance mode in which the largest acceleration is concentrated in the U-block of the structure. 7(b) and 7(c) show that for both modal shapes, the two concrete walls of the U-block are rigidly coupled, and the system thus acts as a stiff barrier. Well beyond the resonance frequency, the walls are decoupled, and the system thus acts as a soft trench. At the resonance frequency however, the UCs vibrate and consequently absorb the vibration energy. This resonance is seen to take place at about 30 Hz. Additionally, it can be pointed out that the PhV-MQ-B (without UCs) does not display a resonance peak.

6. Field test

6.1. Test site

Finally, the modules were tested in real conditions to validate their efficiency in mitigating railway-induced vibrations.

The testing site was located in Elze (see Fig. 8(a)), in Germany. The Elze train station of the Deutsche Bahn infrastructure is particularly suitable because of the presence of scarcely used track sections sur- rounded by sufficient space to allow for the installation and the testing in relevant environment (Fig. 8(b)). The in-field tests were performed in two sessions, one for each of the two setups, which comprised 40m-long trench barriers where modules PhV-MQ-A and then -B were installed. In particular, the installation of the solution PhV-MQ-B was aimed to separate the effect of the UCs from that of the concrete U-shaped super-structure.

6.2. Measurement setup

Measurements were carried out by Baudynamik Heiland & Mistler GmbH [27] on the 11th– 12th May (baseline prior to the installation of the modules) and on the 18th June 2020 (after installation).

The goal was to first determine the transfer function of the soil, i.e., soil mobility, with and without the anti-vibration solution. Mobility measurements were performed by means of an artificial (drop weight) excitation. The comparison between mobility measurements performed before and after the installation of the anti-vibration elements allowed to obtain the Insertion Loss (IL) provided by the anti-vibration modules. The mobility is computed from the measurement of the vibration velocity arising from a known input force. A drop weight excitation was



Fig. 7. (a) left, numerical transmission spectra of PhV-MQ-A and PhV-MQ-B. The shaded region occurs in the low-frequency range in which the transmission of the PhV-MQ-A falls below zero due to the locally resonant effect. The two dashed vertical lines are in correspondence with the resonant modes of the structure. Right, schematic of the models. (b) and (c) Acceleration magnitude (in m/s² represented in colour scale) of the resonant modes at 32.5 and 27.5 Hz.

used at several impact points on the track while the vibration response was recorded at several points beyond the anti-vibration modules. The location of the impact source and the output sensors varied, so that we will refer to a transfer mobility, which is dependent on the distance, the soil conditions and the type of excitation (point or line source).

A DYNPACT[®] excitation source was used, i.e., a device that drops a 50 kg weight from a height of 1 m (see Fig. 9). This induces a pulse- shaped force in the ground that propagates to the load cell location, whose amplitude depends on subsoil stiffness. The proper coupling of the load cell with the subsoil is obtained by a load-distributing steel plate on a sand pad of 3 cm thickness. Before starting the measure- ments, several impacts were applied to avoid local compacting effects of the upper soil layers. The excitation was performed on the track bed between the rail profiles. A schematic of the impact and measuring point locations is shown in Fig. 10.

Vibration measurements were performed with geophones, which record the vibration velocity at the installation location. These were placed at 8, 16, 24, and 32 m from the centre of the tracks, and kept in the same position for the measurements before and after antivibration meta-module installation, through the use of a sensor bed made of rapid hardening cement.

6.2.1. Soil characterization

In order to obtain information about the soil dynamic properties, ad- ditional sets of measurements were performed [27]. First, a measuring chain with horizontal geophones in the radial direction, i.e. perpen- dicular to the tracks, were installed, while vibrations in the soil were induced in the vertical direction by a hammer impact. Secondly, a similar measuring chain was set up with horizontal geophones oriented in the transversal direction, i.e. parallel to the track, while the soil excitation was performed in the horizontal direction, perpendicular to the measurement chain line, with a hammer impact. The recorded data on surface vibration waves were analysed with the Multichannel Analysis of Surface Waves (MASW) method. This method is based on the fact that the waves produced by the hammer impact are modified according to the properties of the soil medium during their propaga- tion. By measuring these dispersion properties at different distances from the vibration source, the different layers of the soil (soil profile) can iteratively be reconstructed. For a more detailed description of the MASW method, see [28,29].

Each layer is described by two parameters: the Shear Wave Velocity v_S and the Dynamic Shear Modulus G_{dyn} : results are illustrated in Fig. 11.

6.3. Results

In the following section, the main steps in the evaluation of the mobility transfer functions and of the IL are presented. Two excitation conditions are discussed: a point source and a line source excitation.

6.3.1. Point source excitation

Starting from the measurement setup described in Section 7.2 and in [27], the transfer functions are calculated for any combination of

(b)

Fig. 8. (a) Satellite picture of Elze and of its main railway station, in which the dashed lines highlight the installation site. (b) View of the installation site.

impact and measuring points as follows: excitation and response signals, $TF^{mnp}(f) =$ windowing was performed both on the

p s A,m

 $(f)\cdot S_{E,n}(f)^*$

(4)

with a window length of 4096 points and a sampling of 2560 Hz. The narrow-band transfer mobility functions are thus obtained with a where, $S^p S_{E,n}(f) \cdot S_{E,n}(f)^*$

(f) is the Fourier Transform of the vibration velocity mea-

frequency resolution of $\Delta f = 1/1.6 \ s = 0.625 \ Hz$, and then transformed into equivalent functions related to the 1/3 octave band frequencies. sured at a distance *p* from the barrier and sampled by the *m*th geophone. Finally, the IL at different distances from the source is calculated as:

Finally, the IL at different distances from the source is calculated as: $SE_n(f)$ is the Fourier Transform of the vibration velocity measured at the *n*th impact point. The symbol * denotes the complex-conjugate. To average the effect of distance and soil heterogeneities, the *T F* is used to compute a transfer mobility function as follows:

 $IL(f) = 10 log_{10}$

$$[T M^p(f)]$$

T Mp(f)

(6)where $T M^{l}(f)$ is the transfer mobility function computed at a distance

 $T M^{p}(f) = \frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{N}{n}$

 $|T \ F \ ^{mnp}(f_{\mathcal{O}})|^2,$

p from the input source before the installation.

(5)

Figs. 12(a) and 12(b) show a comparison of the IL spectra measured where M is the number of geophones located at a distance p and N are the impact points, respectively.

For the computation of spectra, a force amplitude measurement trig- ger was set to $F_{trig} = 15$ kN with a pre-trigger time set to 0.1 s. Rectangle

at 8, 16, 24, and 32 m from the point source excitation for the PhV-MQ-A and PhV-MQ-B structures, respectively. For both modules, the IL is close to zero up to the 20 Hz frequency band (grey region), due to the small depth of the barrier [3]. Within the frequency bands from 20 to 50 Hz

Fig. 9. DYNPACT[®] excitation source used for the measurements.

Fig. 10. Schematic of the experimental setup.

Fig. 11. Results of the MASW analysis: soil profile in terms of shear wave velocity and dynamic shear modulus.

Fig. 12. Point Source. Comparison of the IL at different distances from the source for (a) the solution PhV-MQ-A and (b) the solution PhV-MQ-B. The red shaded region indicates the frequency range in which the two UC types differ in spectral behaviour.

(red region) the modules present different behaviours. For the PhV-MQ- A, a maximum around 10 dB is attained at 31.5 Hz and preserved along the whole distance. This implies that the energy propagating within this frequency range is not transmitted across the barrier, but absorbed through the mechanism of local resonance. The PhV-MQ-B instead, presents two peaks around 8 dB at 25 and 40 Hz bands that rapidly decay below 2 dB with distance. More quantitatively, the PhV-MQ-A solution displays an increment in IL in the 31.5 Hz frequency band due to UC resonance of 6 dB with respect to the PhV-MQ-B solution at 8 m from the source. The increment reaches up to 8.5 dB at 32 m from the excitation source. The overall vibration mitigation provided by the PhV-MQ-A solution with respect to the baseline (before installation) is observed in the frequency range 20-50 Hz (red transparency region) with peak values of 10 dB. Thus, due to its small depth, the Ushaped module (PhV-MQ-B) is only capable of influencing the vibration propagation near the stiff barrier, but its effect strongly decays with distance. This is compatible with the so-called "wave healing" phenomenon which tends to reduce the scattering effect with the distance on both amplitude and phase from weak scatterers. Moreover, previous studies have demonstrated that in stratified soils, Rayleigh waves redirected to the soil tend to re-surface because of the increasing depth dependent velocity (mirage effect) [30,31]. Instead, the U-shaped barrier with embedded metama- terial resonators (PhV-MQ-A) is effective in attenuating vibrations at all considered distances in the designed frequency range, demonstrating a considerable advantage. Experimental results are also consistent with full-field wave propagation simulations in the soil, reported in the Sup- plementary Material. Although at least from a qualitative point of view, experimental results confirm numerical predictions, the latter provide

Fig. 13. Schematic representation of the construction of a line source from point sources, to obtain the line source mobility functions at a desired location by integrating point transfer mobility functions.

a forcibly simplified picture of the wave propagation problem, since it is difficult to choose realistic soil–structure interaction conditions as well as a correct model for soil viscoelasticity. However, we emphasize that the objective of this paper is not to compare experimental results to numerical simulations, but to provide a direct in-field experimental verification of the designed barriers.

6.3.2. Line source excitation

The mobility functions computed in the previous section for each point source can be conveniently transformed by integration into mo- bility functions for a line source excitation, which is more representa- tive of the real working conditions, namely the train excitation.

First of all, for each frequency band of the spectrum, a regression analysis is performed on the results in terms of transfer mobility functions for point sources. This regression analysis is based on the as- sumption of an exponential decay of the transfer mobility with distance *d*:

 $(_{d_0})nP(f)$

vibration insulation in the desired frequency range (30 Hz), exploit- ing its low frequency resonance. Its efficiency was firstly evaluated in numerical and experimental laboratory tests. A concrete U-block encasement was developed to decouple the MM structure form the soil, in order to allow its vibration at resonance frequency. The design of the structure was then validated by means of FEM analysis. Then, a field experimental campaign for its validation was performed: the MM-based modular panel was installed and tested at a railway station site under an artificial excitation to investigate its effect of mitigation of railway- induced vibrations. An IL of up to 10 dB was obtained in the low frequency range (20-50 Hz), in accordance with numerical simulations, and presented in addition a stable insulation measured up to 32 m from the track. Further improvements in the working conditions can probably be obtained with better consolidation and settlement of the soil in the trench around the barrier to improve contact conditions and homogenize the soil properties in the vicinity of the barrier. Moreover, the application of a geotextile within the trench could prevent the soil from spreading inside the cavities of the metabarrier modifying the resonance modes and possibly leading to performance degradation.

 $T \ M^{l}(f, d) = T \ M^{p}(f) \cdot$

d

This work demonstrates the feasibility of using metamaterial solutions for the reduction of low frequency groundborne vibrations such as

where $T M^{l}$ is the line transfer mobility, $d_{0} = 8$ m and nP(f) quantifies the vibration decay with distance, which is computed as a regression value which fits the mobility decay with distance at a specific frequency band, as described in [32]. The line source transfer mobility function can then be computed at desired locations, integrating the transfer mobility functions generated for several point sources obtained at the previous step with the corresponding influence length (Fig. 13). It is important to underline that a line source has a shorter distance decay coefficient that a point source point to the following metation. source. Results indicate that the following relation holds:

those emitted by rail traffic. This can constitute an attractive solution, given the non-invasive installation below surface, removed from the rails, the limited size (1 m depth) and realization using conventional building materials.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

(7)

F. Nistri: Writing – review & editing, Validation, Software, Method- ology, Investigation, Formal analysis. F. Bosia: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition. A.S. Gliozzi: Writing – re- view & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition. L. D'Alessandro:

nL

= nP

+0.5.

(8)

Writing – original draft, Visualization, Resources, Funding acquisi- tion. S. Caverni: Writing – original draft, Supervision. P. Charkaluk:

where nL quantifies the line mobility decay. As has been described for the case of point source, the IL can be obtained from the mobility transfer functions at the desired locations as the difference between the transfer mobility before and after the installation of the proposed solutions. The comparison of ILs at 8 m, 16 m, 24 m and 32 m from the line excitation source between the PhV-MQ-A and PhV-MQ-B is shown in Figs. 14(a) and 14(b), respectively. A similar interpretation of the results for a line source can be made as in the case of a point excitation. Here too, the resonance effect of the UCs leads up to a 6 dB of IL gain in the frequency bands 20–40 Hz, compared to the PhV-MQ-

B. The overall vibration insulation effect of PhV-MQ-A is stable with distance and reaches up to 10 dB of mitigation.

7. Conclusions

In this work, the process of design and validation in a relevant environment of a MM-based modular panel for vibration mitigation in soils has been performed. The MM UC was optimized to improve

Writing – original draft, Software, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis. A. Corigliano: Supervision. M. Miniaci: Supervision, Funding acquisition. A. Colombi: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Fund- ing acquisition, Conceptualization. N.M. Pugno: Supervision, Funding acquisition.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing finan- cial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

Acknowledgments

The results presented in this paper have been obtained and sup- ported within the framework of the DB mindbox ConTech startup

Fig. 14. Line Source. Comparison of the IL at different distances from the source for the solution PhV-MQ-A (a) and for the solution PhV-MQ-B (b). The red shaded region indicates the frequency range in which the two UC types differ in spectral behaviour.

program for innovation, as well as the European Union's Horizon 2020 FET Open ("Boheme") project under grant agreement No. 863179. A grateful thanks is due to Mr Frank Limprecht, Head of North Infrastruc- ture Projects at DB Netze AG, as well as Baudynamik Heiland & Mistler GmbH for their fundamental contribution in the in-situ experimental campaign.

References

- ^[1] Ouakka S, Verlinden O, Kouroussis G. Railway ground vibration and mitigation measures: benchmarking of best practices. Railw Eng Sci 2022;30(1):1–22.
- ^[2] Coulier P, Cuellar V, Degrande G, Lombaert G. Experimental and numerical evaluation of the effectiveness of a stiff wave barrier in the soil. Soil Dyn Earthq Eng 2015;77(1):238–53.
- [3] Dijckmans A, Ekblad A, Smekal A, Degrande G, Lombaert G. Efficacy of a sheet pile wall as a wave barrier for railway induced ground vibration. Soil Dyn Earthq Eng 2016;84(1):55–69.
- ^[4] Jae-Hwang L, Singer JP, Thomas EL. Micro-/nanostructured mechanical metamaterials. Adv Mater 2012.
- ^[5] Bertoldi K, Vitelli V, Christensen J, Hecke MV. Flexible mechanical metamaterials. Nat Rev Mater 2017.
- ^[6] Surjadi JU, Gao L, Du H, Li X, Xiong X, Fang NX, et al. Mechanical metamaterials and their engineering applications. Adv Energy Mater 2019.
- ^[7] Guancong M, Sheng P. Acoustic metamaterials: From local resonances to broad horizons. Sci Adv 2016.
- ^[8] Sadat-Saleh S, Benchabane S, Baida FI, Bernal M-P, Laude V. Tailoring simultaneous photonic and phononic band gaps. J Appl Phys 2009.
- ^[9] Liu Z, Zhang X, Mao Z, Zhu Y, Yang Z, Chan C, Sheng P. Locally resonant sonic materials. Science 2000;289(1):1734–6.
- [10] Laude V. Phononic crystals. de Gruyter; 2020.
- ^[11] Krushynska AO, Miniaci M, Bosia F, Pugno NM. Coupling local resonance with Bragg band gaps in single-phase mechanical metamaterials. Extreme Mech Lett 2017;12:30–6.
- ^[12] van Driel HM, Vos WL. Multiple Bragg wave coupling in photonic band-gap crystals. Phys Rev B 2000;62(15):9872.
- ^[13] Mace BR, Manconi E. Wave motion and dispersion phenomena: Veering, locking and strong coupling effects. J Acoust Soc Am 2012;131(2):1015–28.
- ^[14] Manconi E, Mace B. Veering and strong coupling effects in structural dynamics. J Vib Acoust 2017;139(2):021009.
- ^[15] Castanheira-Pinto A, Alves-Costa P, Godinho L, Amado-Mendes P. On the application of continuous buried periodic inclusions on the filtering of traffic vibrations: A numerical study. Soil Dyn Earthq Eng 2018;113:391–405.
- ^[16] Lei L, Miao L, Li C, Liang X, Wang J. Locally resonant periodic wave barriers for vibration isolation in subway engineering. KSCE J Civ Eng 2021;25:1239–51.
- ^[17] Palermo A, Krödel S, Marzani A, Daraio C. Engineered metabarrier as shield from seismic surface waves. Sci Rep 2016.
- ^[18] Miniaci M, Krushynska A, Bosia F, Pugno NM. Large scale mechanical metamaterials as seismic shields. New J Phys 2016;18(8):083041.
- ^[19] Achaoui Y, Antonakakis T, Brûlé S, Craster R, Enoch S, Guenneau S. Clamped seismic metamaterials: ultra-low frequency stop bands. New J Phys 2017;19(6):063022.
- ^[20] Colquitt D, Colombi A, Craster R, Roux P, Guenneau S. Seismic metasurfaces: Subwavelength resonators and Rayleigh wave interaction. J Mech Phys Solids 2017;99:379–

93.

- ^[21] Colombi A, Colquitt D, Roux P, Guenneau S, Craster RV. A seismic metamaterial: The resonant metawedge. Sci Rep 2016;6(1):27717.
- ^[22] Colombi A, Roux P, Guenneau S, Gueguen P, Craster RV. Forests as a natural seismic metamaterial: Rayleigh wave bandgaps induced by local resonances. Sci Rep 2016.
- ^[23] D'Alessandro L, Belloni E, Ardito R, Braghin F, Corigliano A. Mechanical low- frequency filter via modes separation in 3D periodic structures. Appl Phys Lett 2017.
- ^[24] D'Alessandro L, Ardito R, Braghin F, Corigliano A. Low frequency 3D ultra-wide vibration attenuation via elastic metamaterial. Sci Rep 2019.
- ^[25] Laude V. Phononic crystals: Artificial crystals for sonic, acoustic, and elastic waves. De Gruyter; 2015.
- ^[26] De Ponti JM, De Ponti JM. Graded elastic metamaterials. In: Graded elastic metamaterials for energy harvesting. Springer; 2021, p. 61–89.
- ^[27] Villamil PD, Mistler M, Korda F, Baudynamik Heiland & Mistler, Phononic Vibes. Elze test area: Measurement report and evaluation of the insertion loss resulting from the installation of metamaterial elements, Report No. 80-10634-01-D1 (internal report on behalf of DB Netz AG). Tech. rep, 2020.
- ^[28] Dal Moro G. Onde di superficie in geofisica applicata. Acquisizione e analisi di dati secondo tecniche MASW e HVSR. Flaccovio Dario; 2014.
- ^[29] L'Hereux J-S, Long M. Relationship between shear-wave velocity and geotechnical parameters for Norwegian Clays. J Geotech Geoenviron Eng 2017;143(6):1.
- ^[30] Zaccherini R, Colombi A, Palermo A, Dertimanis VK, Marzani A, Thomsen HR, et al. Locally resonant metasurfaces for shear waves in granular media. Phys Rev A 2020;13:034055.
- ^[31] Zaccherini R, Palermo A, Marzani A, Colombi A, Dertimanis V, Chatzi E. Mitigation of Rayleigh-like waves in granular media via multi-layer resonant metabarriers. Appl Phys Lett 2020;117(25).
- ^[32] Quagliata A, Ahearn M, Boeker E, Roof C, Meister L, Singleton H. Transit noise and vibration impact assessment manual. Tech. rep, 2018.

Design and in field validation of a modular metamaterial for mitigation of railway induced vibrations - Supplementary Material

F. Nistri, F. Bosia, A. Gliozzi

Department of Applied Science and Technology, Politecnico di Torino, C.so Duca degli Abruzzi, 24 - 10129 Torino (Italy)

L. D'Alessandro, S. Caverni, P. Charkaluk

Phononic Vibes s.r.l., Via Simone Schiaffino, 11 - 20158 Milano (Italy)

A. Corigliano

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Politecnico di Milano, Piazza Leonardo da Vinci, 32 - 20133 Milano (Italy)

M. Miniaci

CNRS, Centrale Lille, ISEN, Univ. Lille, Univ. Valenciennes, UMR 8520 -IEMN, Villeneuve-D'Ascq - 60069 (France)

A. Colombi

Department of Civil, Environmental and Geomatic Engineering, ETH Zürich, Wolfgang-Pauli-Str. 27 - 8093 Zürich (Switzerland)

N. Pugno

Laboratory for Bioinspired, Bionic, Nano, Meta Materials Mechanics, Department of Civil, Environmental and Mechanical Engineering, University of Trento, Trento, 38123 Italy

S1. Unit Cell Design

We first evaluate the quasistatic properties of the UC. The equivalent stiffness k_{eq} can be estimated introducing a mono-atomic mass spring model of the UC. It is given by the stiffness of a frame constituted by the four

steel beams [? ?], as $k_{eq} = 2/(l_{el}/EA + (l_{el}^3)/12EI + l_{el}/GA)$, where $l_{el} = 1/2(2l_{beam} + 3\pi/4r_{beam})$ is the length of the beam, $A = \pi\phi^2/4$ is the cross-section area of the beam, $I = \pi/32\phi^4$ is the second moment of the cross-section area, and G = E/2(1 + v) is the shear modulus [?]. Thus, in our case $k_{eq} = 308$ N/mm. The equivalent mass is the mass of the double pyramid, i.e. $m_{eq} = 24.5$ kg. With this approximation, the corresponding

BG opening frequency corresponds to $f = 2/2\pi k_{eq}/m_{eq} = 35$ Hz, which is compatible with the numerical prediction (with a discrepancy of only 7.7 %). To evaluate the load-bearing properties of the UC, a quasistatic numerical simulation is carried out to investigate the influence of the gravity load on the simply supported UC (S1a). Results indicate that beams below the structure shown in fig. S1a are the parts of the UC where the largest stress concentrations occur, as shown in fig. S1b. The corresponding maximum of the von Mises stress is of 226 MPa (fig. S1c) which is 4 times below the AISI 630 steel yield strength. In operating conditions, these stresses will in any case be smaller, since the UC is in contact with the side walls of the U-shaped block, which contributes to bearing the gravity load, reducing the stress concentrations.

Fig. S1: (a) Side view of the UC model and its cross section. (b) von Mises stress at the bottom of the UC and (c) along the steel beam.

S2. Full field wave propagation simulations

Numerical FE simulations are performed to verify the interaction of elas- tic waves propagating in a soil half-space with the two barriers considered experimentally. The full 3D wave propagation problem can be simulated us- ing COMSOL Multiphysics: in the model, the trench barrier is embedded in a portion of soil, the excitation is given by a harmonic force $F = Ae^{i\omega t}$, A = -1N applied in the z-direction, as shown in Fig. S2a. The soil is modelled as a half space with periodic Bloch-Floquet boundary conditions applied at the sides of the xz-plane, the top surface in the xy-plane is stress- free (except for the region where the

load is applied), and Perfectly Matched Layer (PML) conditions are applied on the remaining surface, to reduce the

reflections due to the finite size of the model, as shown in Fig. S2b. The properties assigned to the soil are those corresponding to a dense sand with Young's modulus E = 52MPa, Poisson's ratio v = 0.38 and density $\rho = 1840$ kg/m³.

The simulations are carried out for the PhV-MQ-A and PhV-MQ-B mod- ules and an input load at 31.5 Hz, close to the resonance frequency of the PhV-MQ-A. Results, shown in Fig. S2, show a large part of wave energy radiated towards the bulk in both cases. However, higher wave attenuation

occurs for the PhV-MQ-A barrier (left) in comparison to the PhV-MQ-B (right), as seen in experimental results.

Fig. S2: (a) Isometric view of the numerical model and (b) its mesh with boundary conditions highlighted. In the inset, the discretization of the barrier is shown, using tetrahedric elements for the resonant structure and the portion of soil around it, while the remaining part is meshed using quadrilateral elements.

Fig. S3: Displacement magnitude of the model in presence of the PhV-MQ-A (left) and PhV-MQ-B (right).