



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at www.sciencedirect.com

Journal of the European Ceramic Society

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jeurceramsoc



Corrigendum

Corrigendum to “Modeling of the planetary ball-milling process: The case study of ceramic powders” [J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. 36 (9) (2016) 2205–2212]



M. Broseghini^a, L. Gelisio^a, M. D’Incau^{a,*}, C.L. Azanza Ricardo^a, N.M. Pugno^{b,c,d}, P. Scardi^a

^a Department of Civil, Environmental & Mechanical Engineering, University of Trento, via Mesiano, 77, 38123 Trento, Italy

^b Department of Civil, Environmental & Mechanical Engineering, Laboratory of Bio-Inspired and Graphene Nanomechanics, University of Trento, via Mesiano, 77, 38123 Trento, Italy

^c Center for Materials and Microsystems, Fondazione Bruno Kessler, Via Sommarive 18, 38123 Povo (Trento), Italy

^d School of Engineering and Materials Science, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, United Kingdom

The authors regret that the parameter C reported in Eq. (8) is not correctly defined in the manuscript. Indeed, C is not the total number of collisions but rather the number of points sampling collisions during the simulation time period (τ) with mean output step size of $\sim 1E-3$ (resulting from setting Msc. Adams software integrator parameters $h_{max} = 1E-6$ and $step = 100$). It should thus be stressed that the absolute scale for the power (named *specific impact energy*) used in Figs. 4–6, implicitly depends on the choice of the integrator parameters and therefore it is arbitrary and not directly comparable with power available in a real apparatus. The authors would like to apologise for any inconvenience caused.

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2015.09.032>.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: mirco.dincau@unitn.it (M. D’Incau).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2016.09.026>

0955-2219/© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.