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Electronics and soft robotics demand the development of a new generation of hybrid materials featuring novel properties. Among these, remarkable mechanical properties are required to sustain mechanical stresses, and electrical and magnetic properties are essential to design the devices’ interface. In this work, a hybrid material is presented, consisting of a spider silk thread, providing mechanical robustness, coated with a layer of a magnetostriective FeCo alloy, which ensures both electrical conductivity and stress-sensitive magnetic properties. The durability and the homogeneity of the composite are validated, as well as its ability to respond to magnetic and mechanical stimuli. Despite the coating, the soft nature of the silk and its mechanical performances are preserved. The magnetic study reveals that the magnetic behavior of the film is strongly affected by the silk thread–FeCo layer interaction, especially under mechanical stresses. Indeed, when the composite is subjected to tensile strain, the magnetic signal changes accordingly, indicating that the layer–silk interaction is maintained and can be exploited to reveal the tensional state of the sample even under severe cycles. Therefore, the presented hybrid material is a flexible fiber with properties that are suitable for magneto-electronics applications, e.g., magnetic actuators as well as strain/stress sensors.

1. Introduction

The development of flexible materials with magnetic and electronic properties has raised in these decades the interest of scientists due to their technological potential.1,2 The aim of these materials is to provide integrated solutions that offer both electrical and magnetic properties combined with stretchability, compressibility, twistability, bendability, and in general deformability. Common electronics and magnetic materials, such as silicon or ferromagnetic alloys, are rigid and fragile. On the contrary, many biological materials are soft, elastic, and offer inspiration to design structural materials with desired properties.3,4 For example, artificial skin that resembles the natural counterpart may be used in soft robotics, provided its mechanical similarity with respect to the natural skin.3,5,6 Artificial skin also provides a roadway toward wearable sensing devices, which enables a natural interaction between the body and the device itself, allowing people to have a personalized healthcare.7 Moreover, these devices are stretchable and possibly fatigue free while performing their monitoring functions that integrate physical and chemical features on the same wearable platform.8,9 Thus, the aimed flexible electronics devices must sustain repeated bending and stretching as well as have the possibility to perform multiple functions. Furthermore, to reduce the impact of the electronics contacts, the components of the electronic devices must be micrometric in size (wires of ~2–10 μm in diameter)9,10 and lightweight.10 In this context, magnetic actuation fibers (e.g., amorphous magnetic microwires)11 play a crucial role in soft robotics, since they combine the high tensile strength with the high compliance and desired functionality.12 Magnetic actuation fibers can be produced in several ways:12 coating fibers with water based solutions,13 electrospinning,14 and 3D printing of the magnetic microparticles–polymers composites.12 To expand such frontiers, silk represents a good basic material for such soft components, since its properties align with the ones of many soft tissues, and thus it allows scientists to achieve a smaller mismatch in mechanical properties between electronics component and the working environment.19,15 In terms of mechanical properties, silk can be used in a composite to provide compliance and stretchability as well as strength to weak materials.16 However, having a poor conductivity, silks need to
be hybridized with materials that can convey such property. In this context, silkworm silk has been used to produce electrical devices, such as electronic skin for medical applications. Unfortunately, despite the acceptability conductivity, many silkworm silk-based devices present poor mechanical properties, which can be overcome by using spider silk instead. In fact, although silks are produced by several arthropods, the spider ones have been studied since a long time because of their superior mechanical and biological properties with respect to the silkworms.

Therefore, spider silk was hybridized to produce a magnetic composite by using a water dispersion of magnetic particles. However, due to the exposure to water that irreversibly plasticizes spider silks, the produced material presented reduced mechanical properties. Unfortunately, no magnetic as well as electric characterization was available.

To hybridize spider silks with metals, the exposure of the fibers to vapors doped with heavy metals seemed to improve the mechanical properties. However, also in this case no electronic and magnetic characterizations were performed. In this regard, the deposition of evaporated gold on spider silk provides electrical conductivity, which resists if the composite works with deformations below the yielding point.

Spider silk may be also hybridized with nanomaterials, such as graphene or carbon nanotubes. Spider silk bundles have been combined with carbon nanotubes by means of a water dispersion. Despite the decrease in strength due to water, the silk presented still acceptable mechanical properties, as well as a good conductivity that was preserved also after strain cycles. Another example is represented by the electro-tendon presented in Pan et al. Spider silk may also be used as a binding agent for Si-based electrodes, which seem to provide superior electrochemical performances. Singh et al. produced a composite by mixing dissolved silk with Fe$_3$O$_4$, but no magnetic characterization was performed. Thus, despite a certain number of hybrid spider-silk magnetic materials have been produced, there is scarce knowledge about their magnetic properties, and how these are in synergy with the mechanical ones.

In this work, we present a simple method to produce a hybrid material composed of a spider silk thread, with microscopic diameter, coated with a ferromagnetic magnetorheostatic layer (Fe$_3$O$_4$/C$_6$H$_4$O$_8$ alloy) (Figure 1a–d). The combination of these two elements results in a wire-like ferromagnetic metallic system, which retains its electrical conductivity under strain, highly flexible and extensible—so that it can be modified in shape as well as modeled to act as a component according to specific applications (Figure 1f)—and displaying good mechanical performances. Moreover, the hybrid system features magneto-mechanical coupling, i.e., its magnetic response can be varied by applying a mechanical strain, and it is able to actuate stresses that outperform many magnetic actuators. Therefore, multifunctional hybrid systems of this kind have the potential for being exploited as basic components in electronics and soft robotics applications, such as sensing or actuation.

2. Results

In this study, we used the dragline silk threads (STs) produced by Cupiennius salei (Keyserling 1877) and composed by at least two silk fibers (Figure 1b). The STs were collected and then prepared for further characterization following the procedure reported by Blackledge et al., namely each ST was cut into pieces of the desired length and then fixed on a cardboard frame with a double-sided tape (Figure 1c). To obtain the magnetic silk threads (MSTs), the STs were covered with a 100 nm thick magnetic Fe$_3$O$_4$/C$_6$H$_4$O$_8$ layer. The layer was deposited in Ar atmosphere (Ar pressure = 0.1 Pa) using a high-vacuum dc magnetron sputtering apparatus (base pressure = 10$^{-5}$ Pa; Figure 1d,e). Due to that, as a control, vacuum silk threads (VSTs) were also prepared by exposing the STs to a high vacuum stage comparable to that used for the MSTs preparation.

2.1. Scanning Electron Microscopy

Figure 2 shows representative scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images recorded on an MST sample after performing the tensile tests, by collecting both secondary (Figure 2a,b) and backscattered (Figure 2c,d) electrons. The morphology of the MST was not significantly affected by the coating procedure, and was similar to that of ST (Figure 1b). The quality of the coating was also investigated with SEM backscattered electrons detector. In particular, the compositional contrast (Figure 2c,d) appeared uniform and the Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDXS) analysis (Figure S1) confirmed the presence of the FeCo layer. These results indicate that the FeCo coating of each of the silk fibers composing the thread was uniform.

The diameter of the thread was measured by means of optical microscopy. The average diameter value is 9 ± 5 μm for both MSTs and VSTs, while for the STs the measured value is 11 ± 5 mm. The typical size of a single silk fiber, as obtained by SEM measurements, is 1 μm.

2.2. Electrical Conductivity

The results of the electrical conductivity measurements are presented in Figure 3. Figure 3a shows a representative potential difference–current curve (i.e., ΔV versus I), measured on 5 mm long MSTs. The trend is linear, and the same is found for negative current values, which is consistent with an ohmic type conduction. The obtained electrical resistance value, i.e., the slope of the ΔV versus I curve, was $R_{MST} = 11.13 \pm 0.03 \ \Omega$. Figure 3b reports the ΔV versus eng. strain $\varepsilon$ dependence for the MST sample when $I = 7 \ \mu A$. Two different regimes are observed: at first, ΔV increases linearly, tripling its value, on increasing the applied strain up to ≈0.02; at higher strain, ΔV is nearly constant and reaches a plateau value till the fracture of the magnetic layer, and thus loss of continuity, at $\varepsilon = 0.08$. In these experiments, a selected maximum strain was cyclically applied in order to verify that the conductivity did not drop to zero, i.e., the coating was not stripped (if the strain was lower than 0.08). More details on the effect of the cyclic loadings on the electrical conductivity of the MSTs are reported in Supporting Information Section S2.
2.3. Mechanical Characterization

Tensile tests were performed on both STs and MSTs. The transformation of STs in MSTs involved two steps, namely the permanence in a high vacuum chamber and the deposition of the FeCo coating (section “Spider Silk Coating”). Therefore, to disentangle the effect of the two steps on the mechanical properties and to pinpoint the contribution of the metallic layer, we also prepared an additional set of samples, VSTs. VSTs were obtained by exposing the STs to a high vacuum stage comparable to that used for the preparation of the MSTs, i.e., they were kept at $10^{-5}$ Pa for ~50 min. Table 1 and Figure 4 display the results of the mechanical characterization (the $p$-values of the pairwise comparisons are listed in Tables S1–S4, Supporting Information). The mechanical properties and the diameters of the raw material, ST, are comparable to those reported in the literature and display the same inherent variability. The permanence in high vacuum seemed to plasticize the threads by improving their deformability (the strain at break of the VSTs was significantly the highest) whereas the presence of the metallic coating seemed to slightly reduce it. Moreover, the high vacuum stage also seemed to have a marked effect on the mechanical properties of the fibers (strength, especially), as the STs presented the highest strength in comparison to the MSTs and VSTs. Nevertheless, the Young’s modulus of the MSTs was the same as the native ones and only the VSTs were significantly softened. The highest toughness was the one of the STs, probably due to the strength reduction observed for both MSTs and VSTs. Lastly, we found that, for the three groups of samples, in particular the VST and the MST ones, the strength and the Young's modulus are negatively correlated with the diameter (Figure S3, Supporting Information), as is usually observed in silk fibers.

The maximum likelihood method was used to calculate Weibull parameters for the three types of tested samples (scale and shape; Table 1). The strength Weibull distributions of the samples are depicted in Figure 4f, and the narrower one belonged to the coated samples, which indicates a more homogeneous behavior in terms of fracture.
2.4. Magnetic Properties

In addition to the MSTs, we also prepared a reference magnetic sample by sputtering a 100 nm thick FeCo film on a planar naturally oxidized silicon substrate, under the same experimental conditions adopted for coating the STs. This reference film was labeled as FeCo_{ref}.

Figure 3a shows the magnetic hysteresis loops measured by superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometry on FeCo_{ref}, at room temperature, by applying the
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Figure 3. a) $\Delta V-I$ curve of the MST measured in the lab; the electrical resistance value obtained from the slope of the linear dependence is $R_{\text{MST}} = 11\, \text{k}\Omega$. b) Graph of the potential difference measured on the MST versus the eng. strain $\varepsilon$ applied to it.
magnetic field $H$ along two arbitrary orthogonal directions in the plane of the film, labeled as $\theta = 0^\circ$ and $\theta = 90^\circ$. The obtained loops are squared, which indicates that the magnetization lies in the plane of the film under the prevailing action of the shape anisotropy. However, the shape of the loops does not depend on the applied field orientation, i.e., the sample is magnetically isotropic in the plane. The saturation magnetization of the film is $M_s = 1.75 \times 10^6$ A m$^{-1}$, the coercivity $H_c$ is $\approx 11.5$ kA m$^{-1}$ and the irreversibility field $H_{IR}$, i.e., the field value at which the two branches of the loop join, is $\approx 12.7$ kA m$^{-1}$ (Table 2). The $M_s$ value is slightly smaller than that of bulk FeCo (i.e., $1.91 \times 10^6$ A m$^{-1}$), which can be due to a partial oxidation of the FeCo layer and/or to a mass density reduction often observed in sputtered thin films.\(^{[45]}\) Differently, both $H_c$ and $H_{IR}$ are very high with respect to the bulk ones that, for the ordered alloy, are of the order of 1 Oe.\(^{[44]}\) The analysis performed by magneto-optic Kerr effect (MOKE) magnetometry on FeCo$_{50}$ confirms the $H_c$ and $H_{IR}$ values obtained by SQUID.

Figure 5b shows the hysteresis loop measured by SQUID on the MSTs with $H$ applied parallel to their long axis. To increase the intensity of the magnetic signal, three 5 mm long MSTs were measured at the same time. The measured magnetic moment at saturation is $\mu_{sat} = 7.74 \times 10^{-8}$ A m$^2$; $H_c$ is $\approx 23.9$ kA m$^{-1}$ and $H_{IR} = 43.8$ kA m$^{-1}$, higher than those measured on FeCo$_{50}$. If we approximate each MST by a ferromagnetic microtube with length of 5 mm, diameter of 9 $\mu$m (Section 2.1), thickness of 100 nm, with a $M_s$ equal to the FeCo$_{50}$ one, the expected magnetic moment for three MSTs is $7.5 \times 10^{-8}$ A m$^2$. This result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of silk</th>
<th>Diameter [(\mu\m)]</th>
<th>Strain at break [mm/mm$^2$]</th>
<th>Strength [MPa]</th>
<th>Young's modulus [GPa]</th>
<th>Toughness modulus [MJ m$^{-2}$]</th>
<th>Scale Parameter [MPa]</th>
<th>Shape Parameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST</td>
<td>11 ± 5</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.10</td>
<td>365 ± 290</td>
<td>5.2 ± 4.8</td>
<td>61 ± 46</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MST</td>
<td>9 ± 5</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.18</td>
<td>102 ± 85</td>
<td>4.9 ± 3.7</td>
<td>22 ± 24</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VST</td>
<td>9 ± 5</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.22</td>
<td>93 ± 88</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.9</td>
<td>29 ± 19</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. a) Representative engineering stress-engineering strain curves of the tested samples. b) Strain at break, c) Young's modulus, d) strength, and e) toughness modulus of the tested samples. f) Weibull distribution of strength of the analyzed samples. Stars indicate that the difference is significant (p-value < 0.05).
Figure 5. a) SQUID magnetic hysteresis loops measured at room temperature on the reference 100 nm thick FeCo film (FeCo_ref) with the external field applied parallel to the plane of the film, along two arbitrary orthogonal directions, labeled as $\theta = 0^\circ$ and $\theta = 90^\circ$. b) SQUID magnetic hysteresis loop measured at room temperature on three MSTs with the applied field parallel to the MSTs direction. c) Comparison between the MOKE signal recorded on an unstrained MST (full black symbols) and the MOKE signal collected on an MST subjected to an engineering strain $\varepsilon = 0.025$ (full red symbols). In both cases, the direction of the applied magnetic field is parallel to the MST and the measurements were performed at room temperature.

is close to the measured one, thus supporting the fact that the MSTs display a bundle structure and suggesting that the FeCo coating maintains its $M_s$ value.

We also performed a magnetic characterization using MOKE magnetometry. The loops measured on a single MST (with $H$ parallel to its long axis) with no strain (i.e., $\varepsilon = 0$) and under a tensile strain $\varepsilon = 0.025$ are shown in Figure 5c. We used a small strain value in order to reduce cracks formation.46–48 In the unstrained condition, the magnetization reversal process is less sharp than that observed in FeCo_ref (Figure 5a) and even less in the strained state. The values of $H_C$ and $H_{IRR}$ increase considerably when passing from the unstrained to the strained state. MOKE measurements were also performed after subjecting MSTs to cyclic tensile loadings, to check if this procedure affected the magnetic properties. More details about this point are reported in Supporting Information Section S2.

2.5. AFM and MFM Analysis

A combined atomic force (AFM) and magnetic force (MFM) microscopy analysis of the MSTs was performed in order to access both their morphology and their magnetic configuration. Figure 6a confirms the bundle structure of the MST.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>$H_C$ [kA m$^{-1}$] ± 2%</th>
<th>$H_{IRR}$ [kA m$^{-1}$] ± 2%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FeCo bulk</td>
<td>Ref. [44]</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeCo_ref</td>
<td>SQUID/MOKE</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MST</td>
<td>SQUID</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>41.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MOKE</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>46.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MST, $\varepsilon = 0.025$</td>
<td>MOKE</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>75.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The FeCo layer, which seems to cover the fibers in a rather uniform fashion, presents a smooth surface, with a mean roughness of \( \approx 3 \) nm (see also Figure S4, Supporting Information). In Figure 6b, the MFM profile of the same sample region is displayed. Areas showing a pronounced magnetic contrast are aligned near the grooves between adjacent fibers. In more detail, areas displaying a negative (red) contrast are found on one side of the fibers and those showing a positive (violet) contrast are located on the opposite side. Differently, in the other portions of the fibers (i.e., making reference to the height scale, at the top of each fiber) the contrast appears to be smoother.

We also performed the AFM-MFM analysis in a strained condition equal to that used for MOKE measurements (i.e., \( \varepsilon = 0.025 \)). The effect of the strain can be well appreciated by comparing the 3D maps displayed in Figure 6c,d. In these maps the MFM signal has been superimposed to the morphology of the MST, reconstructed using the AFM map, in order to better highlight the position where a specific MFM signal is detected. Figure 6c refers to the \( \varepsilon = 0 \) case, while Figure 6d to the \( \varepsilon = 0.025 \) one. Overall, in Figure 6d, there is a strong reduction of the magnetic contrast at the sides of the fibers with respect to Figure 6c.

### 2.6. Magnetic Actuation

The magnetic actuation was evaluated by clamping the MST in the nanotensile machine at fixed distance (the MST were in tension at 0.5%) and by measuring the load while the commercial magnets were approached to the sample (Figure 7a). The magnetic configuration of the commercial magnets is presented in Figure 7b, while the dependence of the intensity of the magnetic induction \( B \) as a function of the distance from the magnets, measured along the magnets to MST direction (represented by the green arrow in Figure 7b), is displayed in Figure 7c (Video S1, Supporting Information). The \( B \) intensity changes rapidly as the distance is varied, thus revealing the non-uniformity of the \( B \) configuration. This conclusion is also supported by the \( B \) intensity map displayed in Figure S5b (Supporting Information). The load increases with the approaching of the magnets (Figure 7b) up to a value of 0.12 mN that, considering that the diameter of the used thread was \( \approx 5 \) \( \mu \)m, corresponds to a maximal stress of \( \approx 10 \) MPa. That value was measured when the magnet was almost in contact with the MST (distance \( \approx 0 \) cm) and it was cyclically consistent upon different approaches (Figure S6, Supporting Information).
3. Discussion

In this work, spider silk threads have been collected and used as a basic material in view of the production of micromagnetic multifunctional devices. This has been done by coating the samples with a 100 nm thick magnetic FeCo layer.

The FeCo coated threads retained their original shape, which is essentially cylindrical, albeit with a bundle morphology (Figure 2). Only a small reduction in diameter was observed, in agreement with literature’s results (decrease of ~10%) and due to the dehydration of the sample during vacuum exposure.[45,46] The stability over time and uniformity of the FeCo coating were assessed by EDX and SEM analyses. The coating was seen to cover the fibers also after the mechanical tests, i.e., after breaking the MSTs. These results support the good silk-FeCo coating adhesion.

Electrical resistivity values, measured both on strained and unstrained MSTs, are of the order of \(10^4\) \(\Omega\), suitable for many electronics applications.[9] For comparison, we estimated the resistance, \(R_{ab}\), of a MST modelled as a cylinder with length 5 mm, diameter 9 \(\mu\)m (see Section 2.1), and uniformly covered with a 100 nm thick FeCo layer. Since the resistivity measured on the FeCo film was \(30 \times 10^{-8}\) \(\Omega\) m, from the second Ohm’s law we obtained \(R_{ab} = 0.5\) k\(\Omega\), which is lower than \(R_{MST}\). Due to shadowing effects related to the sputtering deposition method,[50] the thickness of the FeCo layer in the interstices between adjacent fibers may be lower than the nominal value. As a consequence, the value of \(R_{ab}\) could be underestimated. Moreover, the high \(R_{MST}\) value suggests that the FeCo coating, grown on a flexible substrate, most likely has a higher defect density and therefore a higher resistivity compared to the FeCo film, which was deposited on a rigid planar substrate.[52] On the other hand, the presence of defects does not imply the existence of a significant number of discontinuities in the FeCo coating. In fact, in that case, both a much higher resistance value and a non-linear \(\Delta V\) versus \(I\) curve would be measured.[53,54] Hence, the \(R_{MST}\) value is in favor of a metallic covering providing a good degree of electrical continuity.

For comparison, a resistance as high as \(~10^3\) \(\Omega\) was measured on \(=20\) mm long spider silk threads coated with a 100 nm thick gold layer.[12] Electronic devices based on spider silk were also designed by hybridizing it with graphene (with a resistivity circa ten times higher than that of MST[33]) and carbon nanotubes.[34,35] In these systems, resistance values similar to those measured in our MSTs were obtained when the temperature was several degrees below 0 °C. Moreover, the resistance of carbon nanotubes-based devices was seen to increase tremendously with the strain. In particular, at 10% of strain the resistance was over 1 M\(\Omega\), which is almost 90 times the value.
found for the MSTs at a similar level of strain (≈33 kΩ at 8% of strain, Figure 3). The initial increase in resistivity that was here observed is in agreement with other authors when applying a tensile strain to samples produced by growing a metallic film on a planar elastic substrate. This effect was ascribed to the formation of cracks in the metallic layer, and the relative variation of resistance was of some orders of magnitude. In our case, cracks may develop starting from the interstitial regions between adjacent fibers, where the thickness of the FeCo film is smaller, with respect to the other parts of the thread, and so the stress on it is higher. In this way, resistance increases but electrical continuity is preserved. It is expected that, as the strain increases, the cracks density reaches a constant value, and therefore the resistance does not change further, as indeed observed in our case. Eventually, when the strain is ≈0.08, cracks may appear orthogonally to the fibers, and/or the thread may fray, so the electrical continuity is lost. This value of the upper strain limit for electrical conduction is higher with respect to those reported in literature related to similar systems. In summary, these data highlight the potential of the MSTs, as useful resistance values are obtained at room temperature and no variation of the order of magnitude is produced under strained conditions.

As for the mechanical performance, with respect to the STs, the MSTs exhibited comparable values of strain at break and Young’s modulus, and smaller values of toughness modulus and strength (Figure 4). The same Young’s modulus values could be explained by the presence of the stiff magnetic coating, which could have compensated the plasticization induced by the vacuum. The observed modifications may be ascribed to different factors: the presence of the metallic coating, the exposition of the silk fibers to a high vacuum environment, the energy released by the impinging atoms during the sputtering process. The contribution of this last mechanism was considered negligible, since the atoms sputtered from the FeCo target have an average energy of ≈1 eV. They nearly have the same energy when impinging on the silk fibers as, due to the low Ar pressure (≈0.1 Pa), the energy reduction due to the thermalization with Ar atoms is negligible. For confirmation, we radiated the fibers with the electron beam of the SEM apparatus using different values of the accelerating voltage. As shown in Figure S7 (Supporting Information), the beam starts to damage the fibers when its energy is ≈3.4 keV, much larger than the energy of the impinging atoms.

Regarding the influence of low pressure on mechanical properties of spider silk, Ene et al. noticed that in the silk of Trichonephila sp. (former Nephila sp.), an increase in pressure led to a more organized level in the amorphous phase of the protein (which is likely to be related to an increase in strength). Thus, it is likely that the permanence in a high vacuum environment was the main cause of the experienced decrease in silk’s strength. On the other hand, the metallic coating could bring about the decrease in extensibility (since its fragile nature). Overall, despite the mechanical performances of MSTs have not improved, with respect to STs ones, they are still acceptable for common applications and also for most soft electronics components and better than common hybrid composites obtained with silkworm silk.

The study of the magnetic properties of the MSTs disclosed the magneto-mechanical effects deriving from of the interplay between the silk and the magnetostriuctive FeCo layer.

The large $H_C$ and $H_{IR}$ values of the FeCo$_{ref}$ sample are an indication of the presence of internal residual stresses, induced by the growth process. Since FeCo is a magnetostrictive alloy, these stresses induce the appearance of a net magnetoelastic anisotropy contribution, $K_E$, to the total magnetic anisotropy of the film. From the analysis of the magnetic hysteresis loops measured on FeCo$_{ref}$ with the field applied both in-plane (Figure 5a) and out-of-plane (Figure S8, Supporting Information), we estimate that $K_E = 8 \times 10^4$ J m$^{-3}$, corresponding to a stress magnitude of ≈0.9 MPa (see Supporting Information Section S4 for more details). Regarding the MSTs, both SQUID and MOKE analyses showed that there is a further increase in $H_C$ and $H_{IR}$ with respect to those of the reference sample FeCo$_{ref}$. This is consistent with an enhanced magnetic anisotropy of the MSTs.

The anisotropy increase may be determined by the existence of two possible contributions: i) a shape anisotropy term, which may originate from the particular morphology of the MSTs, i.e., an elongated structure with a curved profile in the form of a bundle; ii) an increase in the strength of the internal stresses, and therefore of the magnetoelastic anisotropy term, which may arise from the mechanical coupling with the silk thread. Certainly, the latter is a much more irregular structure compared to the flat Si substrate on which the FeCo$_{ref}$ film is grown. Moreover, after the coating is deposited, the silk fibers exit the vacuum chamber and are subjected to a huge change in relative humidity, which modifies both their mechanical properties and tensile state.

To assess the impact of these different anisotropy contributions on the hysteretic behavior and magnetic configuration of the MSTs, micromagnetic simulations were carried out using MuMax$^3$, a GPU-accelerated micromagnetic simulation program developed at Ghent University and exploiting the finite difference method.

The MST was modeled as depicted in Figure 8a: it is a bundle of three cylinders, each with an internal radius $R_1$ of 500 nm, a length $L$ of 400 nm, and a thickness of 100 nm. To take into account the contribution of shadowing effects related to sputtering deposition, the thickness of the magnetic layer in the grooves between adjacent fibers was reduced (Figure 8b). The saturation magnetization $M_s$ value measured on FeCo$_{ref}$ was used as reference. More details on the simulation methods are given in Supporting Information (Section S5).

As a first step, the micromagnetic calculation was carried out taking into account only the shape anisotropy contribution. The hysteresis loop was calculated considering that the magnetic field $H$ was applied parallel to the $z$ axis. The result is shown in Figure 8c. The values of $H_C$ and $H_{IR}$ are 9.15 and 9.55 kA m$^{-1}$, respectively, less than 50% of the measured values (Table 2). This indicates that the shape term is not sufficient to account for the observed magnetic hardening of MST with respect to FeCo$_{ref}$.

Then, the case in which a magnetoelastic anisotropy contribution was also present was simulated. Since the exact configuration of the internal stresses in the FeCo coating was obviously unknown, we assumed that the local orientation of the
magnetoelastic anisotropy axis changed while the value of $K_E$ was the same everywhere. Assuming $K_E = 8 \times 10^4$ J m$^{-3}$, i.e., that estimated for the FeCo$_{80}$ film (corresponding to a stress magnitude of 900 MPa), with respect to the previous case increased $H_C$ and $H_{R2}$ (19.9 and 28.6 kA m$^{-1}$, respectively) are obtained (Figure 8c). Nevertheless, they are still smaller than the measured ones, which supports that the coupling of the FeCo layer with the silk leads to a value of $K_E$ larger than that of the FeCo$_{80}$ film.

The micromagnetic simulations allow to calculate also magnetic configurational maps, from which maps displaying the corresponding MFM contrast can be calculated. As for the hysteresis loops, two cases were considered, i.e., without and with magnetoelastic anisotropy, namely without and with internal stresses (more details in the Supporting Information Section S6). The results (Figure S10a, Supporting Information) reveal that in the first case the magnetic configuration is uniform and no MFM contrast is visible, while a magnetic contrast of variable sign appears at the sides of the fibers when the magnetoelastic anisotropy is included in the calculation. This last finding is in line with the experimental results of Figure 6b and therefore confirms the presence of a non-uniform local stress pattern in the FeCo coating.

When the MSTs are subjected to a tensile strain ($\varepsilon = 0.025$), differences in the hysteresis loop shape (Figure 5c) and in the MFM map (Figure 6d) are clearly observed with respect to the unstrained case, indicating the existence of a drivable magneto-mechanical coupling between the silk core of the thread and the magnetostrictive FeCo coating. To simulate the influence of the strain on the magnetic properties of the MST, we need to estimate $K_E$. However, the evaluation of the magnitude of the actual applied stress, which produces the strain $\varepsilon = 0.025$, is not straightforward. In fact, when a strain is applied to a flexible substrate covered by a metallic layer, the strain may not be uniform along the thickness.$^{[6,73]}$ The applied stress can be roughly estimated as $\sigma_{app} = E_{FeCo} \times \varepsilon$, where $E_{FeCo}$ is the Young modulus of FeCo. Assuming $E_{FeCo} = 165$ GPa, i.e., the value expected for thin FeCo layers,$^{[74,75]}$ we obtain $\sigma_{app} = 4.1$ GPa. This value is ~4.5 times larger than that of the internal stresses (i.e., 900 MPa) used in the previous simulations of the unstrained MST. Therefore, the micromagnetic calculations were carried out assuming that the magnetoelastic anisotropy term associated to $\sigma_{app}$, i.e., $K_{E,app} = 3.7 \times 10^5$ J m$^{-3}$, is the leading one and the contribution related to the internal stresses can be disregarded.
The obtained hysteresis loop is reported in Figure 8d. $H_C$ and $H_{BR}$ are 255 kA m$^{-1}$ and 279 kA m$^{-1}$, respectively. Hence the two parameters increase with increasing $\epsilon$, an effect confirmed by the experiments, although the measured values of $H_C$ and $H_{BR}$ are definitely lower (Table 2) probably because $\sigma_{pp}$ is overestimated.

The calculated MFM map, displayed in Figure S10c (Supporting Information), shows just a feeble magnetic contrast. Therefore, the application of a tensile stress to MST results in an overall more uniform magnetic configuration, as experimentally observed (Figure 6d).

Finally, the magnetic actuation of MST was investigated by measuring the tensile force generated on the thread when a group of magnets was approached. The generated load, and also the corresponding stress, were larger than those produced by typical biomedical magnetic actuators.\(^{56,77}\) Moreover, MST was repeatedly bent under actuation with a degree desirable in magnetic actuators for soft robotics applications (Video S2, Supporting Information).\(^{78}\) For example, in Gao et al.,\(^{79}\) flexible magnetic microelectrodes generated a stress of about a few MPa when exposed to a variable magnetic field, comparable to what was here achieved with only one thread, thus the MSTs display properties that are suitable for such applications.\(^{80}\)

These results highlight the potentiality of using hybrid systems of this kind as basic components for magnetic actuators.

4. Conclusions

Soft robotics and electronics are fast-moving fields, in which every day new materials emerge, as well as new needs. Among these, the necessity of having flexible electrical fabrics that retain the mechanical efficiency and the electrical properties is commonly highlighted. The magnetic properties are an added value that can be used to generate actuation, improve the interface with the device, perform sensing, and store information. To achieve such needs, new types of highly performant composite fibers are to be designed.

In this work, we present a new spider-silk based material with a strong multifunctional nature. Despite the treatment, the spider silk fibers nearly preserved their natural mechanical properties, but also gained additional functionalities, namely stress-sensing magnetic properties, thanks to a magneto-mechanical coupling between the silk core of the thread and the magnetostrictive FeCo coating, and a strain-dependent electrical conductivity. This hybrid system has been here characterized from mechanical, magnetic, and electrical point of views, providing a solid proof of concept of this functional engineered spider silk. This fiber could be used as a component in soft electronics/robotics and sensing composites nearly as it is, or used as a proof for further investigations with other type of fibers including the relevant example of artificial spider silk, which can be now produced at large scale.\(^{81}\)

5. Experimental Section

Silk Collection: The spider silk studied in this work was produced by Cupiennius salei (Keyserling 1877).\(^{82}\) The spiders were kept in different glass or plastic terrariums and fed with a weekly diet of insects (Blaptica dubia or Achetus domesticus). All the terrariums were set in a room with controlled environmental parameters. Each terrarium was provided with a small refuge by considering the needs of the animal, according to the Italian regulation on animal protection and EU Directive 2010/63/EU for animal experiments. The spider, during its walking inside the terrarium, continuously produced dragline silk threads (Figure 1a), which were composed by at least two silk fibers (Figure 1b). These threads, whose length was of approximately 20 cm, were collected and consequently cut into smaller pieces and then prepared for further characterization.

Spider Silk Coating: The samples were prepared by following the procedure reported by Blackledge et al.\(^{83}\) Briefly, each ST was cut into pieces of the desired length using scissors, and each specimen was then placed on a cardboard frame provided with a window of 0.75 cm × 0.75 cm and fixed with a double-sided tape (Figure 1c). To obtain the MSTs, the STs fixed in the cardboard frame were coated with a 100 nm thick magnetic layer made of a FeCo alloy (Figure 1d). The magnetic layer was deposited with a custom-made high-vacuum dc magnetron sputtering apparatus\(^{84}\) in Ar atmosphere (Ar pressure =0.1 Pa, base pressure =10$^{-5}$ Pa) using a high purity FeCo sputtering target. The nominal value of the thickness of the films was measured with a quartz microbalance system based on 6 MHz gold plated crystal, a Vactec Oscillator Kit, and an MS-9150 Metrex Universal System. During the deposition process, which involved an overall permanent in high vacuum conditions of ≈30 minutes, the cardboard frame was placed on a rotating sample holder so to improve the layer homogeneity. As a reference magnetic sample, a 100 nm thick FeCo film was also deposited on a planar naturally oxidized silicon substrate, under the same experimental conditions adopted for coating the STs. This reference film was labeled as FeCo$_{ref}$. The transformation of STs in MSTs involved two steps, namely the permanent in a high vacuum chamber and the deposition of the FeCo coating. Therefore, as a control, an additional set of samples, VSTS, was also prepared. VSTS were obtained by exposing the STs to a high vacuum stage comparable to that used for the preparation of the MSTs, i.e., they were kept at 10$^{-3}$ Pa for ≈50 min.

Scanning Electron Microscopy: For the SEM morphology characterization, an FE-SEM Zeiss – 40 Supra was used. Regarding the STs, before the observation they were coated with a Pt/Pd (80:20) layer (Quorum technology, Q500T) having a thickness of ≈5 nm. All the SEM observations were performed after the tensile tests by collecting both secondary and backscattered electrons.\(^{83,84}\) EDX analysis was performed on MSTs using an EM-30 COXEM. The spectra were obtained at 20 kV, at a magnification of 10$^4$. The measurements were performed 2 months after the coating of the threads, so to test the durability of the coating.

Electrical Conductivity: The electrical conductivity measurements were performed on MSTs with the four-point collinear probe method, by fixing the intensity of the current flowing in the sample and measuring the potential drop. The current generator was a Keithley 2450 Sourcemeter and an Agilent 34401A Digital multimeter was used as voltage probe. Electrical contacts between the instruments wires and the MST were made possible using silver-loaded paint. For these measurements, the MSTs were detached from the cardboard frame and fixed on a sample holder that, thanks to an endless screw, allowed to extend the length of the samples. In this way, the measurements could be performed both in zero strain and in strained conditions.

Mechanical Properties: Tensile tests were carried out using a nanotensile machine (Agilent$\textregistered$ technologies T150 UTM) with a cell load of 500 mN, nominal declared sensitivity of 10 nN for the load, and 1 Å for the displacement in the dynamic configuration. The strain rate was 1% s$^{-1}$ (gauge length of 7.5 mm) with a frequency load of 20 Hz. Before mounting the sample, the number of fibers counted, and the diameter of each fiber were measured with an optical microscope (Zeiss Axioscope and Axiosview) and [Image] software analysis.\(^{83,84}\) For each group of STs, at least ten samples were tested, and the mean values ± standard deviation of strength (MPa), strain at break (mm mm$^{-1}$), toughness modulus (M J m$^{-3}$), and Young’s modulus (GPa) were
calculated from the engineering stress–engineering strain curves.\textsuperscript{[21]}
Briefly, for each sample the engineering stress was obtained by dividing the
value of the applied force by the initial cross section of the thread.
This was calculated as the sum of the cylindrical cross sections of each
fiber that composes the thread, whose diameter was measured by
means of light microscopy.\textsuperscript{[20]} The engineering strain was obtained by
dividing the displacement by the initial gauge length. Making reference
to the engineering stress–engineering strain curve, the Young’s
modulus was evaluated as the slope of the initial (steepest) part of
that curve whilst the toughness modulus as the area below the entire
curve. The tensile tests were performed 2 weeks after the samples
preparation.
Statistical Analysis: The values of the ultimate strength were analyzed
through the support of the software Mathematica so to obtain the
Weibull parameters through the maximum likelihood method.\textsuperscript{[22,41]}
One-way analysis of variance (pairwise comparison) was performed
to compare the mechanical properties, with a significance level of 5%.
The two-tailed $p$-value were computed with the support of Matlab as
previously described.\textsuperscript{[23]}
Magnetic Characterization: The magnetic properties of the samples
were investigated by a SQUID Quantum Design MPMS-XL
magnetometer operating in the 5–300 K temperature range (maximum
applied field 4 MA m$^{-1}$, sensitivity $10^{-10}$ A m$^{-1}$).\textsuperscript{[34]}
The magnetic behavior of the MTSs was also studied using a MOKE
magnetometer, using the transverse configuration setup and the
intensity modulation technique.\textsuperscript{[58,87]} In this case, the maximum applied
field was 160 kA m$^{-1}$. In more detail, the direction of the MST was kept
parallel to that of the magnetic field and both of them were orthogonal
to the scattering plane. In this configuration, $p$ polarized light produced
by a He-Ne laser (wavelength $\lambda = 632.8$ nm) was focused onto the MST
and the light diffused by the sample was collimated on a Thorlabs PD35
amplified silicon detector. For the MOKE measurements, the samples
were installed using the same sample holder adopted for productivity
measurements.
Magnetic Force Microscopy: AFM and MFM characterization were performed with a Bruker Multimode V Nanoscope
8 microscope, equipped with CoCr coated MESP-HR tips. Images were
taken in air with the samples at their magnetic remanence, with the
tip magnetized along its axis (vertical direction). During each image
acquisition, the height channel was acquired in pass 1 in intermittent
contact mode, and the magnetic channel was acquired in pass 2 at a
constant lift scan height of 35 nm (=1 Hz acquisition, 384 lines). For
these measurements, the samples were installed using the same sample
holder adopted for MOKE measurements.
Magnetic Actuation: The mechanical response of the MTSs was
evaluated through a custom-made setup. A nanotensile machine
(Agilent technologies TI50 UTM) was used to measure the load
response of the samples and a commercial set of cubic (lateral side of
5 mm) Nickel plated N42 NdFeB magnets (by Webcraft GmbH) was
used to expose the MST to a non-uniform B field, so as to apply a drag
force to the sample.\textsuperscript{[88]} The $B$ intensity was measured using a FW-Bell
9500 Gaussmeter using a 1-axis Hall probe.
Each MST was set on a 0.5% level of strain and held for 120 s.
After the relaxation, a static configuration was kept (the grips held
the sample by measuring its mechanical response) and the MST was
gradually exposed to the magnetic field by slowly approaching the
cubic magnets to the MST. Their relative distance was measured by
means of a Sony Camera HD. This procedure was repeated several
times for each MST sample and for STs, as well, that were used to
have a reference background signal, which was then subtracted from
MST data.
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Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or
from the author.
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